Experimentally freezing quantum discord in a dissipative environment using dynamical decoupling

Harpreet Singh,* Arvind,† and Kavita Dorai‡
Department of Physical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education & Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81 SAS Nagar, Manauli PO 140306 Punjab India.

The discovery of the intriguing phenomenon that certain kinds of quantum correlations remain impervious to noise up to a specific point in time and then suddenly decay, has generated immense recent interest. We exploit dynamical decoupling sequences to prolong the persistence of time-invariant quantum discord in a system of two NMR qubits decohering in independent dephasing environments. We experimentally prepare two-qubit Bell-diagonal quantum states that interact with individual dephasing channels and demonstrate the effect of dynamical decoupling on the preservation of both quantum and classical correlations. We are able to freeze quantum discord over long time scales in the presence of noise, using dynamical decoupling. We use robust state-independent dynamical decoupling schemes for state preservation and demonstrate that these schemes are able to successfully preserve quantum discord.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 03.67.Bg, 03.67.Pp, 03.65.Xp

arXiv:1610.02755v1 [quant-ph] 10 Oct 2016

Introduction:- The quantification of quantum correlations, distinction from their classical counterparts, and their behavior under decoherence, is of paramount importance to quantum information processing [1]. Several measures of nonclassical correlations have been developed [2] and their signatures experimentally measured on an NMR setup [3, 4]. Quantum discord is a measure of nonclassical correlations that are not accounted for by quantum entanglement [5]. While the intimate connection of quantum entanglement with quantum nonlocality is well understood and entanglement has long been considered a source of quantum computational speedup [6], the importance of quantum discord, its intrinsic quantumness and its potential use in quantum information processing protocols, is being explored in a number of contemporary studies [7].

A surprising recent finding that for certain quantum states up to some time $\bar{t}$, quantum correlations are not destroyed by decoherence whereas classical correlations decay, and after this time $\bar{t}$ the situation is reversed and the quantum correlations begin to decay, has generated widespread interest [8, 9]. This inherent immunity of such quantum correlations to environmental noise throws up new possibilities for the characterization of quantum behavior and its exploitation for quantum information processing. The peculiar "frozen" behavior of quantum discord in the presence of noise was experimentally investigated using photonic qubits [10] and NMR qubits [11, 12]. The class of initial quantum states that exhibit this sudden transition in their decay rates was theoretically studied under the action of standard noise channels, and it was inferred that the type of states that display such behavior depends on the nature of the decohering channel being considered [13]. Dynamical decoupling methods have been proposed to protect quantum discord from environment-induced errors [14] and a recent work showed that interestingly, dynamical decoupling schemes can also influence the timescale over which time-invariant quantum discord remains oblivious to decoherence [15].

We report the remarkable preservation of time-invariant quantum discord upon applying time-symmetric dynamical decoupling (DD) schemes of the band-bang variety, on a two-qubit NMR quantum information processor. For DD schemes of the symmetric XY4 and XY8 variety, quantum discord persists up to a time $\bar{t} \approx 0.1$s and for the symmetric XY16 and KDD$_{xy}$ kind of DD schemes, the discord persists up to a time $\bar{t} \approx 0.2$s which is double and four times respectively, of the persistence time of 0.05s when no preservation schemes are applied. This is the first experimental demonstration of the potential that DD schemes hold for prolonging the lifetime of time-invariant discord, as predicted in recent theoretical work [15]. We note here, that while the theoretical results show that the DD schemes can prolong the persistence of time-invariant quantum discord for particular kinds of environmental noise, in our experiments, different types of decohering channels could contribute to spin decoherence. Hence our results reflect the remarkable success of DD schemes in preserving quantum correlations, regardless of the nature of the decohering channels that act on the qubits.

Experimentally creating time-invariant discord:- We create and preserve time-invariant discord in a two-qubit NMR system of chloroform-^{13}C, with the ^{1}H and ^{13}C nuclear spins encoding the two qubits (Fig. 1). The ensemble of nuclear spins is placed in a longitudinal strong static magnetic field ($B_0 \approx 14.1$T) oriented along the z direction. The ^{1}H and ^{13}C nuclear spins precess around B_0 at Larmor frequencies of ≈ 600 MHz and ≈ 150 MHz, respectively. The evolution of spin magnetization is controlled by applying rf-field pulses in the x and y directions. The internal Hamiltonian of the



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Chloroform-^{13}C molecular structure with ^1H and ^{13}C labeling the first and second qubits, respectively. Tabulated system parameters are: chemical shifts ν_i, the scalar coupling interaction strength J_{12} (in Hz) and relaxation times T_1 and T_2 (in seconds). NMR spectrum of (b) the thermal equilibrium state after a $\pi/2$ readout pulse and (c) the pseudopure $|00\rangle$ state.

system in the rotating frame is given by

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(\nu_i - \nu_{rf}^i)I_{iz} + \sum_{i<j,i=1}^{2} J_{ij}I_{iz}I_{jz} \qquad (1)$$

where ν_i is the Larmor frequency of the ith spin, ν_{rf}^i is the rotating frame frequency ($\nu_{rf}^i = \nu_i$ for on-resonance), and J_{ij} is the spin-spin coupling constant. The two-qubit system was initialized into the pseudopure state $|00\rangle$ by the spatial averaging technique [16]. Density matrices were reconstructed from experimental data by using a reduced set of quantum state tomography (QST) operations [17] combined with the maximum likelihood method [18] to avoid any negative eigen values. The fidelity F of all the experimental density matrices reconstructed in this work was computed using the Uhlmann-Jozsa measure [19]. The experimentally created pseudopure state $|00\rangle$ was tomographed with a fidelity of 0.99, and the NMR signal of this state was used as a reference for computation of state fidelity in all subsequent time-invariant discord experiments.

A class of Bell-diagonal (BD) states with maximally mixed margins are defined in terms of Pauli operators σ_i as

$$\rho_{\rm BD} = \frac{1}{4}\,(\mathbb{I} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i\ \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i) \qquad (2)$$

where $0 \leq |c_i| \leq 1$ determine the state completely and can be computed as $c_i = \langle \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i \rangle$.

We aim to prepare an initial BD state with the parameters $c_1(0) = 1$, $c_2(0) = 0.7$, $c_3(0) = -0.7$. The NMR pulse sequence for the preparation of this state from the $|00\rangle$ pseudopure state is given in Fig. 2(b). Preparing the BD state involves manipulation of NMR multiple-quantum coherences by applying rotations in the zero-quantum and double-quantum spin magnetization subspaces. Since the molecule is a heteronuclear spin system, high-power, short-duration rf pulses were used for gate implementation (with rf pulses of flip angles $\alpha = 46^\circ$ and $\beta = 59.81^\circ$ in Fig. 2 having pulse lengths of 6.85μs and 5.02μs, respectively). The experimentally achieved $\rho_{\rm BD}^{\rm E}$ (reconstructed using the maximum likelihood method [18]) had parameters $c_1(0) = 1.0$, $c_2(0) = 0.708$ and $c_3(0) = -0.708$ and a computed fidelity of 0.99. The experimentally reconstructed density matrix (using quantum state tomography and maximum likelihood) was found to be:

$$\rho_{\rm BD}^{\rm E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0724 + 0.0000i & -0.0035 + 0.0026i & -0.0035 + 0.0026i & 0.0730 + 0.0003i \\ -0.0035 - 0.0026i & 0.4268 + 0.0000i & 0.4270 + 0.0006i & -0.0028 - 0.0020i \\ -0.0035 - 0.0026i & 0.4270 - 0.0006i & 0.4273 + 0.0000i & -0.0028 - 0.0020i \\ 0.0730 - 0.0003i & -0.0028 + 0.0020i & -0.0028 + 0.0020i & 0.0735 + 0.0000i \end{pmatrix} \qquad (3)$$

Correlations function for the BD states can be computed readily to give us the classical correlations ($\mathcal{C}[\rho(t)]$), the quantum discord ($\mathcal{D}[\rho(t)]$), and total correlations ($\mathcal{I}[\rho(t)]$ [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[\rho(t)] &= \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1 + (-1)^j \chi(t)}{2} \log_2[1 + (-1)^j \chi(t)] \\ \mathcal{I}[\rho(t)] &= \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1 + (-1)^j c_1(t)}{2} \log_2[1 + (-1)^j c_1(t)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1 + (-1)^j c_3}{2} \log_2[1 + (-1)^j c_3] \\ \mathcal{D}(\rho) &\equiv \mathcal{I}(\rho) - \mathcal{C}(\rho) \end{aligned} \qquad (4)$$

where $\chi(t) = \max\{|c_1(t)|, |c_2(t)|, |c_3(t)|\}$.

For the class of BD states with coefficients $c_1 = \pm 1, c_2 = \mp c_3, |c_3| < 1$, and that decohere under a phase damping channel with damping rate γ, the coefficients evolve in time as $c_1(t) = c_1(0)\exp[-2\gamma t], c_2(t) = c_2(0)\exp[-2\gamma t], c_3(0) \equiv c_3$, and the quantum discord does not decay up to some finite time $\bar{t}$ [9]. We allowed the experimentally prepared state $\rho^{\rm E}_{\rm BD}$, to evolve freely in time and determined the parameters c_i at each time point. We used these experimentally determined coefficients to compute the classical correlations ($\mathcal{C}[\rho(t)]$), the quantum discord ($\mathcal{D}[\rho(t)]$), and total correlations ($\mathcal{I}[\rho(t)]$) at each time point.

The experimental results are consistent with a model where the phase damping rate for the two-qubit system (carbon C, proton H) is modeled as $\gamma = \frac{T_2^{*H}+T_2^{*C}}{2T_2^{*H}T_2^{*C}}$, where the parameter T_2^* accounts for rf inhomogeneity in the static magnetic field as well as for spin-spin relaxation. Initially ($t = 0$), the computed correlations in the $\rho^{\rm E}_{\rm BD}$ state (taken as an average of five density matrices initially prepared in the same state) turned out to be $\mathcal{C}[\rho(0)] = 1.117 \pm 0.008$, $\mathcal{D}[\rho(0)] = 0.379 \pm 0.007$ and $\mathcal{I}[\rho(0)] = 1.496 \pm 0.012$. The simulated and experimental plots of the dynamics of the quantum discord, classical correlations and total correlations are shown in Fig. 4 (a) and (b) respectively, and show a distinct transition from the classical to the quantum decoherence regimes at $t = \bar{t}$. The experimentally determined phase damping rates turn out to be $T_2^{*H} = 0.41$ s and $T_2^{*C} = 0.19$ s. The transition time up to which quantum discord remains constant is $\bar{t} = \frac{1}{2\gamma}\ln\left|\frac{c_1(0)}{c_3(0)}\right|$, and is experimentally determined to be $\bar{t} = 0.052$ s. The experimentally tomographed density matrix, reconstructed at different time points, shows that state evolution remains confined to the subspace of BD states, as is evident from the experimentally reconstructed density matrices of the state at different time points (with and without DD preservation) displayed in Fig.5 - Fig.7, respectively.

Protecting time-invariant discord:- Dynamical decoupling (DD) schemes, consisting of repeated sets of π pulses with tailored inter-pulse delays and phases, have played an important role in dealing with the debilitating effects of decoherence [20]. Several NMR QIP experiments have successfully used DD-type schemes to preserve quantum states [21, 22].

The effect of time-reversal symmetry in dynamical decoupling is extensively discussed in [23], wherein π pulses along both the x- and y- axes reduce errors due to pulse imperfections; the XY4 sequence, for instance, consists of four pulses with different phases. Pulse errors are almost perfectly compensated if symmetric building blocks of π pulses are used in a higher-order DD sequence, and the XY8 and XY16 are symmetrized versions of the XY4 sequence [24]. A robust sequence KDD$_{xy}$ designed to compensate for flip-angle and resonance-offset errors, uses a



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Quantum circuit for the initial pseudopure state preparation, followed by the block for BD state preparation. The next block depicts the DD scheme used to preserve quantum discord. The entire DD sequence is repeated N times before measurement. (b) NMR pulse sequence corresponding to the quantum circuit. The rf pulse flip angles are set to $\alpha = 46^\circ$ and $\beta = 59.81^\circ$, while all other pulses are labeled with their respective angles and phases.



FIG. 3. NMR pulse sequence corresponding to DD schemes (a) XY4(s), (b) XY8(s), (c) XY16(s), and (d) KDD$_{xy}$. All the pulses are of flip angle π and are labeled with their respective phases. The pulses act on both qubits simultaneously.

composite π pulse [24]. We used time-symmetric building blocks in all the four DD schemes implemented in this work: $XY4(s)$, $XY8(s)$, $XY16(s)$ and KDD$_{xy}$, to preserve time-invariant discord (Fig. 3). We applied π pulses simultaneously on both spins, with pulse lengths of 15.1μs and 26.8 μs for the proton and carbon spins, respectively. Each DD sequence was applied a repeated number of times (N being as large as experimentally possible), for good preservation.

Four π pulses are required to implement the XY4 DD scheme, $[\frac{\tau}{2} - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \frac{\tau}{2}]_N$. We implemented the symmetrized XY4 DD scheme for $\tau = 0.58$ ms and an experimental time for one run of 2.43 ms.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Time evolution of total correlations (green triangles), classical correlations (blue squares) and quantum discord (red circles) of the BD state: (a) Simulation, (b) Experimental plot without applying any preservation, and (c)-(f) Experimental plots using preserving DD sequences XY4(s), XY8(s), XY16(s) and KDD$_{xy}$, respectively. The displayed $\bar{t}$ values clearly show the remarkable preservation of quantum discord under DD.



FIG. 5. Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of the experimental tomographs of the (a) Bell Diagonal (BD) state, with a computed fidelity of 0.99. (b)-(e) depict the state at $T = 0.06, 0.12, 0.17, 0.23$s, with the tomographs on the left and the right representing the simulated and experimental state, respectively. The rows and columns are labeled in the computational basis ordered from $|00\rangle$ to $|11\rangle$.

The time for which quantum discord persists using the XY4(s) scheme is $\bar{t} = 0.11$s, which is double the time as compared to no-preservation (Fig. 4(c)). We implemented the XY8 DD scheme $[\frac{\tau}{2} - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - -\tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \pi_x - \frac{\tau}{2}]_N$ (providing a better compensation for pulse errors), for τ= 0.29 ms and an experimental time for one run of 2.52 ms. The time for which quantum discord persists using the XY8(s) scheme is $\bar{t} = 0.13$s, nearly the same as the XY4 scheme (Fig. 4(d)). The XY16(s) DD scheme $[\frac{\tau}{2} - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_y - \tau - \pi_x - \tau - \pi_{-x} - \tau - \pi_{-y} - \tau - \pi_{-x} - \tau - \pi_{-y} - \tau - \pi_{-y} - \tau - \pi_{-x} - \tau - \pi_{-y} - \tau - \pi_{-x} - \frac{\tau}{2}]_N$ provides even better compensation than the XY8 sequence. We implemented the XY16(s) scheme for τ= 0.145 ms and an experimental time for one run of 2.75 ms. The time for which quantum discord persists for the XY16(s) scheme is $\bar{t} = 0.24$s, which is four times the persistence time of the discord when no preservation is applied (Fig. 4(e)). The basic KDD sequence is given by $\text{KDD}_\phi = \tau/2 - (\pi)_{\pi/6+\phi} - \tau - (\pi)_\phi - \tau - (\pi)_{\pi/2+\phi} - \tau - (\pi)_\phi - \tau - (\pi)_{\pi/6+\phi} - \tau/2$. To improve robustness, the sequence can be extended by combining blocks of five pulses shifted in phase by $\pi/2$, such as $[\text{KDD}_\phi \text{ - } \text{KDD}_{\phi+\pi/2}]^2$, where the lower index denotes the overall phase of the block. We implemented the KDD$_{xy}$ sequence [24]: $[\tau/2 - (\pi)_{\pi/6} - \tau - (\pi)_0 - \tau - (\pi)_{\pi/2} - \tau - (\pi)_0 - \tau - (\pi)_{\pi/6} - \tau - (\pi)_{2\pi/3} - \tau - (\pi)_\pi - \tau - (\pi)_\pi - \tau - (\pi)_{\pi/2} - \tau - (\pi)_{2\pi/3} - \tau/2]^2$, with τ= 0.116 ms and an experimental time for one run of 2.86 ms. In this case too, the time for which quantum discord persists $\bar{t} = 0.22$s is quadrupled, as compared to no-preservation (Fig. 4(f)). The DD sequence was looped for five times between each time point in Figs. 4(c)-(f), which typically means repeating a DD sequence 175-200



FIG. 6. Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of the experimental tomographs of the (a)-(e) depict the BD state at $N = 20, 40, 60, 80, 100$, with the tomographs on the left and the right representing the BD state after applying the XY4 and XY8 scheme, respectively. The rows and columns are labeled in the computational basis ordered from $|00\rangle$ to $|11\rangle$.



FIG. 7. Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of the experimental tomographs in (a)-(e) depict the Bell Diagonal (BD) state at $N = 20, 40, 60, 80, 100$, with the tomographs on the left and the right representing the BD state after applying the XY16 and KDD$_{xy}$ preserving DD schemes, respectively. The rows and columns are labeled in the computational basis ordered from $|00\rangle$ to $|11\rangle$.

times during an experiment covering all time points. Details of the experimentally tomographed density matrices reconstructed at different time points, after preservation by different DD schemes, are discussed in [**?**]. Our results show that time-invariant quantum discord, which remains unaffected under certain decoherence regimes, can be preserved for very long times using dynamical decoupling schemes. Our experiments have important implications in situations where persistent quantum correlations have to be maintained in order to carry out quantum information processing tasks.

All experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance-III 600 MHz FT-NMR spectrometer at the NMR Research Facility at IISER Mohali. KD acknowledges funding from DST India under Grant No. EMR/2015/000556. Arvind acknowledges funding from DST India under Grant No. EMR/2014/000297. HS acknowledges CSIR India for financial support.

* harpreetsingh@iisermohali.ac.in
† arvind@iisermohali.ac.in
‡ kavita@iisermohali.ac.in

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2000).
[2] B. P. Lanyon, M. Barbieri, M. P. Almeida, and A. G. White, Phys. Rev. Lett., **101**, 200501 (2008).
[3] D. O. Soares-Pinto, L. C. Céleri, R. Auccaise, F. F. Fanchini, E. R. deAzevedo, J. Maziero, T. J. Bonagamba, and R. M. Serra, Phys. Rev. A, **81**, 062118 (2010).
[4] I. A. Silva, D. Girolami, R. Auccaise, R. S. Sarthour, I. S. Oliveira, T. J. Bonagamba, E. R. deAzevedo, D. O. Soares-Pinto, and G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. Lett., **110**, 140501 (2013).
[5] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett., **88**, 017901 (2001).
[6] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and

K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys., **81**, 865 (2009).
[7] K. Modi, A. Brodutch, H. Cable, T. Paterek, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys., **84**, 1655 (2012).
[8] J. Maziero, L. C. Céleri, R. M. Serra, and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. A, **80**, 044102 (2009).
[9] L. Mazzola, J. Piilo, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. Lett., **104**, 200401 (2010).
[10] J. Xu, X. Xu, C. Li, C. Zhang, X. Zou, and G. Guo, Nature Commun., **1**, 1 (2010).
[11] R. Auccaise, L. C. Céleri, D. O. Soares-Pinto, E. R. deAzevedo, J. Maziero, A. M. Souza, T. J. Bonagamba, R. S. Sarthour, I. S. Oliveira, and R. M. Serra, Phys. Rev. Lett., **107**, 140403 (2011).
[12] F. M. Paula, I. A. Silva, J. D. Montealegre, A. M. Souza, E. R. deAzevedo, R. S. Sarthour, A. Saguia, I. S. Oliveira, D. O. Soares-Pinto, G. Adesso, and M. S. Sarandy, Phys. Rev. Lett., **111**, 250401 (2013).
[13] F. F. Fanchini, T. Werlang, C. A. Brasil, L. G. E. Arruda, and A. O. Caldeira, Phys. Rev. A, **81**, 052107 (2010).
[14] F. F. Fanchini, E. F. de Lima, and L. K. Castelano, Phys. Rev. A, **86**, 052310 (2012).
[15] C. Addis, G. Karpat, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. A, **92**, 062109 (2015).
[16] D. Cory, M. Price, and T. Havel, Physica D, **120**, 82 (1998).
[17] G. M. Leskowitz and L. J. Mueller, Phys. Rev. A, **69**, 052302 (2004).
[18] H. Singh, Arvind, and K. Dorai, Phys. Lett. A, **380**, 3051 (2016).
[19] R. Jozsa, J. Mod. Opt., **41**, 2315 (1994).
[20] G. S. Uhrig, Phys. Rev. Lett., **102**, 120502 (2009).
[21] S. S. Roy, T. S. Mahesh, and G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A, **83**, 062326 (2011).
[22] H. Singh, Arvind, and K. Dorai, Phys. Rev. A, **90**, 052329 (2014).
[23] A. M. Souza, G. A. Alvarez, and D. Suter, Phys. Rev. Lett., **106**, 240501 (2011).
[24] M. A. A. Ahmed, G. A. Álvarez, and D. Suter, Phys. Rev. A, **87**, 042309 (2013).